Application of Mathematical Model in Evaluating Undergraduate’s Degree Paper
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ABSTRACT

The level of undergraduate’s degree paper is one of the important indicators of teaching quality. In this paper, mathematical modeling of FAHP (Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process) is given, and then undergraduate’s degree paper of the college with an example is elaborated a comprehensive evaluation of quantitative science, in order to fully mobilize the enthusiasm of teachers and students, and constantly promote the improvement of the quality of college teaching.
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1. Introduction

The undergraduate paper is academic thesis which is written independently by undergraduate student with the requirement of the teaching programs under the guidance of the experienced teachers before student graduate. It is an important part of the training scheme and comprehensive examination of knowledge ability and quality. With the development of the social economy and scientific technology, it put forward more higher requirement of quality for colleges and universities, which improve correspondingly the quality and requirements of the paper. But it is a complicated work to evaluate it, because the process and results are restricted in many aspects, for example, the analysis and judgment have fuzziness and uncertainty. It is very difficult to guarantee the thinking coherence in dealing many indexes for traditional Analytical Hierarchy Process(AHP). In this circumstances, it can resolve the problem to combine Fuzzy Mathematics with AHP(AHP). By this means, many factors reflected paper level can be calculated according to relative and subjection relation from top to bottom, changing qualitative problems to quantitative problems, which make the result more correct and scientifical mathematical model.

2. Mathematical Model

Here are main steps of the Fuzzy Analytical Hierarchy Process(FAHP). First, hierarchical structure of the systems is establish. Second, weights of the every factor are calculated. Third, the degree of membership is decided by fuzzy comprehensive evaluation. Finally, its final value is computed.

2.1. Factor Set

It is crucial to establish the evaluating indexes system for undergraduate paper. The evaluation indexes system is concretization of evaluation standard and core of evaluation scheme. There are many factors that influence the scientificity and reliability, and every factor contains a number elements, so that the rational indexes system can outline the characteristic and innovation.

The factor set is divided in to many layers, the first one is

\[ U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_i, (U_i \cap U_\phi = \phi, i \neq k) \]

the second one is

\[ U_j = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} U_j, (U_j \cap U_\phi = \phi, j \neq k) \]

the last one is

\[ U_\phi = \bigcup_{l=1}^{n} U_l, (U_l \cap U_\phi = \phi, l \neq k) \]

2.2. Weight Coefficient

The important degree of every index in the index system is different, the difference can be represented by different weight coefficient, which is equal to a mapping

\[ w: U_j \rightarrow (0,1) \]

i.e.
Let the first weight coefficient be
\[ A = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) \]
the second one be
\[ A_1 = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \cdots, a_{in}) \]
and the last one be
\[ A_q = (a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, \cdots, a_{ijn}) \]

There are many methods to establish weight coefficients, for example binomial coefficient, neighboring comparative gathering statistics iterative, analytical hierarchy process and so on.

2.3. Evaluation Set

The evaluation set is divided into many indexes. It is represented by membership degree, and it can be reflected correctly the result. Let evaluation set be
\[ V = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_m\} \]
which is applicable for every layer and factor.

2.4. FAHP Model

The ordinary model is principal-factor-decision \( M(\land, \lor) \), principal-factor-outstanding \( M(\bullet, \lor) \), or \( M(\land, \oplus) \), and the weighted mean \( M(\bullet, \oplus) \). The weighted mean is adopted because it is suitable for the factor with weight. By experiment the algorithm is effective and simple.

Step one considering the third layer comprehensive evaluation \( U_j = \{u_{j1}, u_{j2}, \cdots, u_{jn}\} \), let fuzzy mapping
\[ f_j : U_j \rightarrow F(V), \]
\[ f_j(u_{ij}) = (r_{ij1}, r_{ij2}, \cdots, r_{ijn}) \in F(V), \]
then the third fuzzy relation matrix is built
\[ R_j = \begin{pmatrix} r_{111} & r_{112} & \cdots & r_{11m} \\ r_{211} & r_{212} & \cdots & r_{21m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{jn1} & r_{jn2} & \cdots & r_{jnm} \end{pmatrix}, \]
where
\[ 0 \leq r_{ijq} \leq 1, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n, q = 1, 2, \cdots, m \]
\[ r_{ijq} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \]
\( \alpha \) stands for the number of the expert that \( u_{ij} \) belong to \( V_j \), and \( \beta \) stands for the number of the all expert.

The third layer fuzzy comprehensive evaluation is calculated according to weight distribution
\[ A_j = (a_{j1}, a_{j2}, \cdots, a_{jn}), \]
\[ B_j = A_j \circ R_j = (a_{j1}, a_{j2}, \cdots, a_{jn}) \begin{pmatrix} r_{j11} & r_{j12} & \cdots & r_{j1m} \\ r_{j21} & r_{j22} & \cdots & r_{j2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{jnm} & r_{jnm} & \cdots & r_{jnm} \end{pmatrix}, \]
\[ = (b_{j1}, b_{j2}, \cdots, b_{jm}). \]

Step two considering the second layer comprehensive evaluation \( U_j = \{U_{j1}, U_{j2}, \cdots, U_{jn}\} \), the second fuzzy relation matrix is obtained, i.e.
\[ R = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ \vdots \\ B_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots & b_{1m} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{nm} \end{pmatrix}, \]
If weight distribution is
\[ A = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) \]
then the first fuzzy comprehensive evaluation is obtained
\[ B = A \circ R = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n) \in F(V) \]
where
\[ b_q = \sum_{p=1}^{n} a_p \times b_{pq}, (q = 1, 2, \cdots, m). \]

3. Application Example

The evaluation index system is different for various university. Here is an example of undergraduate’s degree thesis in Tonghua Normal university.

3.1. Evaluation Index System

There are three indexes in the first layer of evaluation index system, thirteen indexes in the second layer, and sixteen indexes in the third layer, which is in the paper quality because of its importance.

3.2. Weight Coefficient

A number of experienced experts who is invited score according to their importance, and then calculate their weight coefficient by superiority chart.
3.3. Evaluation Result

Ten experienced examinants give the grade according to evaluation index system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Weight coefficient.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The First Index</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discipline (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigation (0.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation level (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richness (0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choice of data (0.15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality (0.70)</th>
<th>Verifying ability (0.35)</th>
<th>Expression ability (0.25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correlation (0.19)</td>
<td>Argument establishment (0.34)</td>
<td>Abstract, keywords (0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification method (0.20)</td>
<td>Material structure (0.17)</td>
<td>Language, punctuation, signal (0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic structure (0.29)</td>
<td>Title (0.16)</td>
<td>Notes (0.16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content introduce (0.40)</th>
<th>Answering statement (0.35)</th>
<th>Politeness, apparatus (0.10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

3.4. Fuzzy Comprehensive Evaluation

Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of the third layer factor set is \( U_{21} = \{U_{211}, U_{212}, U_{213}, U_{214}\} \)

\[
B_{21} = A_{21} \cdot R_{21} = (0.3, 0.36, 0.18, 0.16)
= (0.752, 0.13, 0.10, 0.018),
\]

likewise, \( B_{22} = (0.746, 0.108, 0.10, 0.046) \),
\[
B_{23} = (0.812, 0.151, 0.037, 0),
B_{24} = (0.836, 0.096, 0.052, 0.016)
\]

Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of the second layer factor set is \( U_{1} = \{U_{11}, U_{12}, U_{13}, U_{14}\} \)

\[
B_{1} = A_{1} \cdot R_{1}
= (0.8, 0.2, 0, 0)
= (0.15, 0.15, 0.15, 0.40, 0.15)
= (0.87, 0.09, 0.035, 0).
\]

Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of the first layer factor set is \( U = \{U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}\} \), then

\[
B_{2} = A_{2} \cdot R_{2}
= (0.752, 0.13, 0.1, 0.018)
= (0.746, 0.108, 0.1, 0.046)
= (0.812, 0.151, 0.037, 0)
= (0.836, 0.096, 0.052, 0.016)
= (0.7931, 0.1256, 0.0659, 0.0154).
\]

Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of the first layer factor set is \( U = \{U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}\} \), then
Table 3. Grades and score segments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Grades</th>
<th>Score segments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>90-------100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>80-------90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>60-------80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>60 Below</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ B = A \circ R \]

\[
= (0.15, 0.70, 0.15) \times \begin{pmatrix}
0.87 & 0.115 & 0.015 & 0 \\
0.7931 & 0.1256 & 0.0659 & 0.0154 \\
0.875 & 0.090 & 0.035 & 0 \\
0.8169 & 0.1186 & 0.0537 & 0.0108
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The results indicate that 81.69% of experts think it is excellent, that 11.86% of experts think it is good, 5.37% of experts think it is pass, and anothers think it is fail. According to maximum subordination principle, the result of above evaluation is excellent.

The grade theory domain is used for the sake of observing visual image. The evaluation set is described by different score segments, see Table 3.

Let 95, 85, 75, 35 represent the score of the different grades, then the grade matrix is \( C = (95, 85, 75, 35)^T \). The final score is

\[ F = B \circ C^T = (0.8169, 0.1186, 0.0537, 0.0108) \times \begin{pmatrix} 95 \\ 85 \\ 75 \\ 35 \end{pmatrix} = 92.09. \]

It is well know that the grade of the undergraduate’s degree paper is excellent.

4. Conclusion

The undergraduate paper is one of the important indicator that show practical teaching of university. It is very crucial to evaluate undergraduate paper. FAHP is not only considering the internal relationship between the various indicator fuzziness of the system, but also possessing the basis of Fuzzy Mathematics, Matrix Theory, and AHP, therefore FAHP can accurately reflect the level of the undergraduate’s degree thesis, this method is scientific.

The steps is clear, the judgment is simple in entire model, and it can be calculated by using mathematical software Mathematica and Mathlab when calculation amount is very complicated, so FAHP can avoid confusion that caused by inaccurate scoring and reduce the workload of teacher.

It is rigorous in theory, and convenient in application, especially, the programming effective of the FAHP is verified through some examples, there is extensive application space and wonderful development prospects in the field of life and production.
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